



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/666,065	05/06/00	MICHELSON MD	G 101-0070-000
			<input type="text"/> EXAMINER
022882		QM12/0817	
MARTIN & FERRARO 14500 AVION PARKWAY SUITE 300 CHANTILLY VA 20151-1101			PRIDDY, M
			<input type="text"/> ART UNIT
			<input type="text"/> PAPER NUMBER
			3732
			DATE MAILED:
			08/17/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

RECEIVED

AUG 20 2001

MARTIN & FERRARO LLP

DOCKETED BY: TMM
ON: 8-20-01
ACTION REQUIRED: Elect

DATE REQUIRED: 9-10-01

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/566,055	Applicant(s) Michelson	
	Examiner Michael Priddy	Art Unit 3732	

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 5, 2000

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-138 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims 1-138 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

<p>16) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</p> <p>18) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-848)</p> <p>17) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTD-1449) Paper No(s). _____</p>	<p>10) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____</p> <p>19) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTD-162)</p> <p>20) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>
---	---

Application/Control Number: 09/566055

Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-132, drawn to a screw system, classified in class 606, subclass 65.
 - II. Claim 133-135, drawn to a method of producing a screw of bone, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MEP. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make a screw system of design different from that of invention I.
3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
4. The following figures appear to correspond with species of invention I:

I. 1A-C	II. 1D
III. 2A-B	IV. 3A-B
V. 4	VI. 5

Application/Control Number: 09/566055

Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

VII. 6

VIII. 7A-B

IX. 8-10

X. 11-15

XI. 21

Figures 16-20 seem to be generic to each of the species presented above.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/566055

Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Finally, it should be noted that the examiner has set forth the election/restriction above in view of the disclosure and has relied almost exclusively upon the brief description of the figures to establish the separate species. In any response to this requirement, Applicant should indicate which claims are readable upon the elected species and point out which claims are believed to be generic. By no means does the examiner intend to prevent the applicant from selecting any particular species or invention. Therefor, if applicant feels that the actual correspondence between figures and species differs from that set forth above he/she is encouraged to bring that to the attention of the examiner in the aforementioned response to this requirement.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Priddy whose telephone number is (703) 308-8620. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. L. Gene Mancene, can be reached on (703) 308-2696.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

04-14-2004 21:33

From-MARTIN&FERRAROLLP

3308772030

T-501 P.028/028 F-857

Application/Control Number: 09/566055

Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Michael B. Priddy

08/13/2001

Genevieve
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700